



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION IX

75 Hawthorne Street

San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

November 23, 1999

Michael P. Kenny, Executive Officer  
California Air Resources Board  
P.O. Box 2815  
Sacramento, CA 95812

Dear Mr. Kenny:

On March 2, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued a decision on EPA's and DOT's 1997 transportation conformity regulatory amendments (62 FR 43780 [August 15, 1997]) in response to a lawsuit brought by the Environmental Defense Fund. The U.S. EPA and the Department of Transportation (DOT) released guidance on May 14 and June 18 on administrative approaches to implementing the regulations consistent with the court's ruling. In response to the court decision, EPA has committed to determine adequacy/inadequacy of previously submitted control strategy SIPs on a rapid schedule and to provide for public notice and comment. This letter serves to notify you of our decision on the Moderate PM<sub>10</sub> SIP submittal for Searles Valley (Trona Portion).

EPA posted notification of this SIP submission on June 7, 1999 at our web site ([www.epa.gov/oms/transp/adequacy.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oms/transp/adequacy.htm)). The 30-day comment period on the emission budget adequacy review ended on July 7, 1999. EPA did not receive any comments.

In 1996, the California Air Resources Board (CARB) submitted a Moderate PM<sub>10</sub> attainment demonstration, maintenance plan and redesignation request for the Trona portion of the Searles Valley to EPA. We have reviewed the plan and determined that the documentation that was provided with the PM<sub>10</sub> submittal does not allow us to find it adequate for use in conformity analyses. This does not mean that we necessarily find any problem with the SIP submittal with respect to approvability or even the emissions specified for different motor vehicle categories. The difficulty is that the different motor vehicle emissions elements were not combined into clearly defined budgets consistent with the federal conformity regulations (40 CFR 93.118(e)(4) and 58 FR 62194, column 3). Therefore, we have determined that the Searles Valley Moderate PM<sub>10</sub> plan submittal is currently inadequate for conformity purposes.

We are working with your staff, the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District and Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) and are confident that the necessary clarification has been agreed upon and will be submitted to EPA. Once we receive this new submittal, we will reevaluate this determination.

If you have any questions regarding this decision, please contact Charnjit Bhullar at 415-744-1153 or Mark Brucker at 415-744-1231.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David P. Howekamp", with a stylized flourish at the end.

David P. Howekamp  
Director, Air Division

cc: Bob O'Loughlin, FHWA  
Jean Mazur, FHWA  
Leslie Rogers, FTA  
Mike Brady, Caltrans